Lightweight CIGS2 Thin-Film Solar Cells on Stainless Steel Foil
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ABSTRACT: AM 0 PV parameters of large-grain, {112} orientated chalcopyrite CIGS2 thin films solar cells on 127 µm thick SS flexible foil for space power were: V_{oc} = 802.9 mV, J_{sc} = 25.07 mA/cm², FF = 60.06%, and efficiency η = 8.84%. Detailed current versus voltage analysis gave values of series resistance R_s, shunt resistance R_p, diode factor A, and reverse saturation current J_0 of ~0.1 cm⁻², ~600 cm⁻², ~2.2 and ~1.85x10⁻⁸ A cm⁻² respectively. A sharp QE cutoff was observed at CIGS2 bandgap of ~1.50 eV. Higher foil roughness resulted in a preliminary low 4.06% (AM 1.5) efficiency of CIGS2 solar cell on 20 µm thick SS foil. Present specific power of 65 W/kg can be increased by over 10 times with 10% AM 0 CIGS cells on 20-25 µm thick SS or Ti foils.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this research is to develop CuIn_{1-x}Ga_{x}S_{2} (CIGS2) thin-film solar cells on flexible stainless steel (SS) foils for space power. CIGS2 thin-film solar cells are of interest for space power applications because of the near optimum bandgap for AM0 solar radiation in space [1-7]. CuIn_{1-x}Ga_{x}Se_{2-y}Sy (CIGS) and CIGS2 solar cells are expected to be superior to Si and GaAs solar cells for space missions especially in terms of the performance at the end of low earth orbit (LEO) missions [8,9]. CIGS2 thin film solar cells on flexible SS may be able to increase the specific power by an order of magnitude from the current level of 65 W/kg. Thin-film technology could conservatively reduce the array-manufacturing cost of medium-sized five-kilowatt satellite from the current level of $2000k to less than $500k [10]. Preparation and properties of CIGS thin-film solar cells deposited on glass substrates have been described in earlier studies [11,12]. This paper presents preparation and detailed photovoltaic (PV) characterization of CIGS2 thin-film solar cells on SS flexible foil substrates for ultra-lightweight space solar power.

2. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

DC-magnetron-sputtering parameters for deposition of molybdenum back-contact layer were optimized so as to minimize the residual stresses developed during deposition. Bright annealed stainless steel foils of thicknesses 127 µm and 20 µm were evaluated as possible substrate materials for polycrystalline CIGS2 solar cell. Crystalline phases, surface morphology, and composition-depth profile of CIGS2 films deposited on SS flexible foils substrates were studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Approximately 40%-Cu-rich Cu-Ga/In layers were sputter-deposited on unheated Mo-coated SS foils from CuGa(22%) and In targets. Well-adherent, large-grain Cu-rich CIGS2 films were obtained by sulfurization in an Ar:H₂:S 1:0.04 mixture at argon flow rate of 650 sccm and the maximum temperature of 475°C for 60 minutes with intermediate 30 minute annealing step at 135°C. p-type CIGS2 thin films were obtained by etching away the Cu-rich layer segregated at the surface in dilute (10%) KCN solution for 3 minutes [13,14]. Solar cells were completed by deposition of CdS heterojunction partner layer by chemical bath deposition, transparent-conducting ZnO/ZnO:Al window bilayer by RF sputtering, and vacuum deposition of Ni/Al contact fingers through metal mask [15]. PV parameters of the best solar cell on SS foil were measured under AM 0 and AM 1.5 conditions at the NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) and National Renewable Energy Laboratory respectively. Detailed PV characteristics were obtained at the Institute of Energy Conversion (IEC) [6,11].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Surface roughness of SS foil substrates was measured using DEKTAK³ surface profile measuring system. In case of 127 µm thick SS foil, the average roughness (Ra) was 62.3 Å and average waviness (Wa) was 141.6 Å. The average roughness and average surface waviness were respectively 396.4 Å and 773.2 Å for the 20 µm thick SS foil. XRD and SEM analysis of a CIGS2 film on SS foil revealed growth of large (~3 µm), compactly-packed, faceted grains of chalcopyrite CIGS2 phase having a= 5.519 Å and c= 11.125 Å and {112} preferred orientation. SIMS depth profile of CIGS2 film showed gallium concentration increasing toward the back contact.
PV parameters of the best CIGS2 solar cell on 127 μm thick SS flexible foil measured under AM 0 conditions at the NASA Glenn Research Center were: $V_{oc} = 802.9$ mV, $J_{sc} = 25.07$ mA/cm², FF = 60.06%, and $\eta = 8.84\%$. For this cell, AM 1.5 PV parameters measured at NREL were: $V_{oc}' = 788$ mV, $J_{sc}' = 19.78$ mA/cm², FF = 59.44%, $\eta' = 9.26\%$.

Results of the detailed PV characteristics consisting of the analysis of short circuit current, $J$ versus voltage, $V$ and quantum efficiency data obtained at IEC for this cell are presented in the following. The $J$-$V$ characteristics in light and dark were compared to verify if the light characteristic was essentially a translated curve with light short circuit current, $J_{sc}$ or $J_L$ (Figure 1). There was slight crossover at current densities over $1.9xJ_{sc}$ indicating a moderately photoconducting heterojunction partner layer.

$J$-$V$ characteristics under illumination provided $J_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, FF, and $\eta$, in addition to $R_s$, $R_p$. Ascending and descending curves showed hysteresis. The main part of log ($J+J_{sc}$) versus $V$ curves showed diode behavior (Figure 2). The offset between dark and light curves is attributed to the higher reverse saturation current, $J_o$ under illumination. The curve is affected by the shunt resistance, $R_p$ at low voltages. In the present cell, shunting effects became predominant below 0.1 mA cm². Usually, slopes are modified due to series resistance at very high currents. In the present case, series resistance effect was not observed even at ~59 mA cm² i.e. ~3xJsc.

The $dJ/dV$ versus $V$ curve measures ac conductance around $J_{sc}$ (Figure 3). For the dark curve, it gave a reasonable value of 600 $\Omega$ cm² for the shunt resistance, $R_p$. The light curve showed a slight change of collection with voltage. The un-smoothed light curve was noisy due to flicker in xenon arc lamp. The scatter was reduced by using values of $dJ/dV$ calculated by the nine-point differential method. $dV/dJ$ versus $1/(J+J_{sc})$ curve was plotted to estimate ac resistance in forward bias. The straight lines show diode or exponential behavior (Figure 4). The intercept at $\infty$ current gave a very low value of series resistance, $R_s$ of ~0.1 $\Omega$ cm². It can be seen that there is moderate hysteresis. It indicates non-coincidence between ascending and descending curves. Values of the diode factor, A and reverse saturation current density, $J_o$ can be obtained from a plot of natural logarithm of $(J+J_{sc})$ versus corrected voltage $V'$ i.e. $(V-R_sJ)$. Figure 5 shows a plot of the diode factor, A and reverse saturation current, $J_o$.  

![Fig. 1. Variation of light and dark current densities with voltage](image1)

![Fig. 2. Log ($J+J_{sc}$) versus total voltage $V$, curves](image2)

![Fig. 3. $dJ/dV$ versus voltage characteristics](image3)
Fig. 4. Variation of dV/dJ with 1/J+Jsc

$J_o$ versus Ln $J$ (dark). Values of diode factor, $A$ and reverse saturation current, $J_o$ can be seen to vary respectively around ~2.21 and ~1.85 x 10^{-8} A cm^{-2} over a wide range of current densities.

Quantum efficiency (QE) curves were obtained in the dark and under AM1 light illumination, without bias ($V = 0$) and with reverse (-0.5 V) and forward (0.5 V) bias (Figure 6). They showed only a modest loss at high energy by the thin heterojunction partner CdS layer. At low energy, a sharp QE cutoff was observed equivalent to CIGS2 bandgap of ~1.50 eV.

Another set of curves was obtained for normalized QE versus photon energy in electron-volt, eV. For this purpose, the peak value of each curve was normalized to 1. At low energies, the curves showed almost no difference in collection and a QE cut off at ~1.50 eV. Unbiased samples showed CdS absorption at high energies. Detailed PV characterization consisting of the analysis of short circuit current, $J$ versus voltage, $V$ and quantum efficiency data showed that CIGS2 thin film solar cells on SS substrates were normal without serious limitations and with promising characteristics.

Preliminary experiments were carried out for preparation of CIGS2 solar cells on 20 µm thick SS and 25.4 µm thick titanium foils. PV parameters of an un-optimized cell fabricated on 20 µm thick SS foil and measured at NREL under AM 1.5 conditions were: $V_{oc} = 740$ mV, $J_{sc} = 13.129$ mA/cm², FF = 41.63%, efficiency $\eta = 4.06\%$. It may be noted that the average roughness (Ra) of 20 µm thick SS foil was 396.4 Å while that of 127 µm was 62.3 Å. The loss of efficiency is attributed to surface roughness. It is expected that when smoother 20 µm SS foil become available, it would be possible to prepare CIGS2 or CIGS solar cells with AM 0 efficiency in the range of 10 to 15%. Table I provides the projected specific power in W/Kg of flexible metallic substrate for 10 and 15% AM 0 efficient CIGS2 solar cells. Thus it can easily be seen that even 10% AM 0 CIGS cells on thin SS or Ti foils will increase the specific power by over an order of magnitude from the present value of 65 W/kg [16].

Fig. 5. Variation of diode factor, $A$, and reverse saturation current density, $J_o$, with the dark current density, $J$.

Fig. 6. Variation of quantum efficiency with wavelength.
Table I. Projected Specific Power in W/Kg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate Thickness Material</th>
<th>Projected Specific Power in W/Kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>127-µm (5 mil) SS foil</td>
<td>AM 0 η = 10%: 133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM 0 η = 15%: 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-µm (&lt; 1 mil) SS foil</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.4-µm (1 mil) Ti foil</td>
<td>1016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. CONCLUSIONS

Preparation parameters were optimized to obtain large (~3 µm), compactly-packed, faceted-grain, CIGS2 thin films on SS flexible foil with {112} preferred orientation of chalcopyrite phase having \( a_0 = 5.519 \) Å and \( c_0 = 11.125 \) Å. AM 0 PV parameters of a CIGS2 solar cell on 127 µm SS flexible foil were: \( V_{oc} = 802.9 \) mV, \( J_{sc} = 25.07 \) mA/cm², FF = 60.06%, and \( \eta = 8.84\% \). Detailed J-V analysis gave values of series resistance \( R_s \), shunt resistance \( R_p \), diode factor \( A \), and reverse saturation current \( J_0 \), of ~0.1 \( \Omega \) cm², ~600 \( \Omega \) cm², ~2.2 and ~1.85x10⁻⁸ A cm⁻² respectively. Quantum efficiency curve showed a sharp QE cutoff equivalent to CIGS2 bandgap of ~1.50 eV, fairly close to the optimum value for efficient AM0 PV conversion in the space. Low 4.06% (AM 1.5) efficiency of an un-optimized CIGS2 solar cell on 20 µm thick SS foil is attributed to higher foil roughness. Calculations show that even 10% AM 0 CIGS cells on 20-25 µm thick SS or Ti foils will increase the specific power by over 10 times from the present value of 65 W/kg.
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